

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/534,191	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRIAN CHEW	2195	

All Participants:

(1) BRIAN CHEW

(2) DANIEL DE VOS (Reg. No. 37,813)

Date of Interview: 14 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10-11, 29, 31 and 41-42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed proposed changes to put in condition for allowance, particularly with respect to defining "a further way". Minor informalities were also discussed. On 4/15/2010, Applicant agreed to amend claims 10, 27, 29, 31 and 41-42 according to Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

/B. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30PM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)